Grading Policy for CS666 Project Report Instructor: Dr. Tao Xie San Diego State University

1. Which category describes this project report?

Research-oriented: The authors proposed their own new technique that is technical sound. In addition, the performance of the proposed technique has been extensively evaluated by comparing it with some existing schemes. When I evaluate a research-oriented project, I will focus on (1) how good is your idea? and (2) how solid is your experiment result?

Survey-oriented: The authors did not propose any new algorithm or technique. However, they compared an array of classic techniques. The experimental results are convincing and the analysis of these results is insightful and helpful for other researchers. When I evaluate a survey-oriented project, I will focus on (1) is your experimental part comprehensive? and (2) do you provide readers with some insightful comments on these existing techniques?

2. Is the project report technically sound?

- Yes, it is technically sound
- Appears to be
- No, its technical part is very week

3. Are the title and abstract appropriate?

• Yes

• Title is not appropriate as one can not immediately tell what is the topic of this project research

• Abstract is not clear and complete. A good abstract should provide (1) using one sentence or two to describe the background information; (2) using one sentence to briefly introduce the basic idea of your own technique; (3) using one sentence to summarize your experimental results.

4. Does the manuscript contain sufficient and appropriate references?

- References are sufficient and appropriate (at least 8 references)
- References are sufficient but some of them are inappropriate

- Some important references are missing
- References are insufficient

5. Does the introduction state the objectives of the manuscript in terms that encourage the reader to read on?

- Yes
- Sort of
- No

6. How would you rate the organization of the manuscript? Is it focused? Is the length appropriate for the topic?

- Very satisfied
- Could be improved
- Fair
- Poor

7. The readability of the manuscript

- Easy to read
- Readable but needs some efforts, there are some errors
- Hard to read with many grammar errors and typos

8. Overall rate of the report

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor